

Biomedical Statistics – Topic Discussion

Variables:

Dependent Variable	The outcome that the study is attempting to explain/effect
Independent Variable	The factors that may influence the dependent variable
Confounding Variable	A factor that could influence the outcome on the dependent variable but is not measured in the study

Data:

Nominal	Data that falls into a single subset no numerical significance (categories (blonde, brunette, grey))
Ordinal	Data is portrayed in a finite ranked system (ex: pain scale)
Interval	Data that comes with an infinite number of numerical data points within two endpoints (temperature) – known space between any two points w/o an absolute zero
Ratio	Data that falls within an infinite number of evenly spaced values between points where there is an absolute zero

Equations:

$incidence\ rate = \frac{\#new\ cases\ per\ unit\ of\ time}{total\ population\ at\ risk}$	# of healthy patients at risk of developing disease
$Prevalence = \frac{\#existing\ patients}{population}$	# that have the disease at a time
$Relative\ Risk = \frac{incidence\ rate\ in\ patients\ exposed\ to\ specific\ factor}{incidence\ rate\ in\ patients\ not\ exposed\ to\ factor}$	Measure of a disease in a specific population that is presented with specific risks
RR> 1.0 : greater risk in exposed group; RR=1.0 : equal risk; RR<1.0 : greater risk in those not exposed	
$Odds\ Ratio = \frac{Cases\ with\ exposure * controls\ without\ exposure}{Cases\ without\ exposure * control\ with\ exposure}$	Estimating equation for RR – used in retrospective studies because prospective studies are impossible
$Number\ needed\ to\ treat = \frac{1}{ARR}$	# of patients needed to treat for 1 patient to benefit
$Number\ needed\ to\ harm = \frac{1}{ARI}$	# of patients needed to treat for one patient to be harmed

Relative Risk Reduction/Increase difference in event rates between two groups as a proportion of the unaffected groups
Absolute Risk Reduction: The absolute difference in rates between two treatment groups

Types of Error/Alpha/Beta/Power/Confidence Interval

Type 1 False positive	<p>When studies state a difference exists when there actually is no difference (α-error)</p> <p>Alpha is the chance of making type 1 error (must be a priori)</p> <p><i>$p < 0.05 = \text{There is } < 5\% \text{ chance that the results are due to chance alone; } p < 0.01 = \text{There is } < 1\% \text{ chance that the results are due to chance alone; } p < 0.001 = \text{There is a } < 0.1\% \text{ chance that results are due to chance alone}$</i></p> <p>Correlates with the CI (0.05 alpha = 95% CI)</p>
Type 2 False negative	<p>When the study suggests a difference does not exist when it does (β-error) – related to Power which is the probability that the study will determine a significant result</p> <p><i>If $\beta=0.1 \rightarrow 90\% \text{ Power}$</i></p> <p>As power increases the chance for a type 2 error decreases</p>

Tests – see RX Prep Book – Extreme Area of confusion